

DISSERTATION AND VIVA VOCE RUBRICS (LLM 2024 Onwards)

The viva voce marks of the dissertation will be given on the basis of viva conducted in presence of External Member & guide.

JHARKHAND RAI UNIVERSITY, RANCHI			
DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL STUDIES			
DISSERTATION AND VIVA VOCE			
SL NO.	Rubrics for Dissertation and Viva Voce	Marks	Weightage
1	Dissertation Report	50	50%
2.	Research Publication	25	25%
3.	Viva	25	25%
TOTAL MARKS FOR DISSERTATION AND VIVA VOCE		100	100%

Parameters	Criteria	Assessment
Purpose & objectives (5 Marks)	Exceptionally well stated, interesting, sophisticated, original, full and convincing justification	4-5 marks
	Well stated purpose, appropriate and realistic explanation of the context /problem/case	3-4 marks
	Clearly stated, some relevance, straightforward	2-3 marks
	Not stated, confusing, unrelated to title, difficult to understand, inappropriate study	0-2 marks
Literature Review (10 Marks)	Exceptional section that fully demonstrates a discerning, creative and critical engagement with what has been read	8-10 marks
	Good coverage, awareness of relevant prior research, clear structure, stated selection criteria, consistent referencing, clarity of understanding, the literature, informs and adds to the development of the project /case study	6-8 marks
	A basic coverage of relevant literature. Inconsistent referencing, The literature offers some additional understanding the problem/ project / development of project /case study	4-6 marks
	Inadequate and/or irrelevant evidence, virtually no evidence of appropriate selection, no discussion of selection criteria, unsystematic or omitted referencing	0-4 marks
Research Methodology (5 Marks)	Provides excellent theoretical understanding rigorously argued approach, exceptional understanding evident	4-5 marks
	Clear and relevant theoretical basis, appropriate approach, useful and appropriate information. An awareness of strengths and weaknesses of approach.	3-4 marks
	Some evidence of a theoretical basis, reasonably explained.	2-3 marks
	Irrelevant, very limited explanation of approach to the study	0-2 marks
Analysis of Primary and/or Secondary Data (8 Marks)	Outstanding analytical techniques and approaches, evidence of creation of new approaches (if appropriate), thorough and rigorous analysis, exceptionally well justified	8- 6 marks
	Standard approach to collection, clear validity and reliability, critical analysis using appropriate techniques and appropriate criteria	6-4 marks
	Standard approach to collection, limited validity, limited and basic, but acceptable evaluation or techniq	4-2 marks
	Extremely limited collection of data, poorly identified data, no criteria for evaluation, no analysis	0-2 marks

Discussion & Findings (7 Marks)	Sophisticated and critical discussion of the issues involved, outstanding reflection on the strengths and weaknesses of the research, offers fresh/new insights on the problem or development	7 - 5 marks
	Discussion shows a very limited awareness of theory and attempt to link this to the findings. There is a very limited discussion of the implications, and limitations of the research or development	5 - 3 marks
	Findings are not effective, discussion shows no learning from the evidence presented	0-3 marks
Presentation, Structure & Language (5 marks)	Outstanding logical flow, excellent use of language, appealing and effective use of graphics, charts and tables, appropriate and consistent referencing, very skilfully developed structure, outstanding logical flow, most effective use of conventions appropriate for purpose	4-5 marks
	Clear and effective use of graphics and charts, no spelling or grammatical errors, appropriate and consistent referencing, logical, clear and coherent structure	3-4 marks
	Adequate use of graphics and charts, good command of spelling and grammar, some typos, some omissions or inconsistencies in reference list, most sections have a logical flow and structure	2-3 marks
	Mostly inarticulate and incomprehensible, very hard to understand and follow, confused and unstructured	0-2 marks
Conclusion (10 marks)	Exceptional conclusions that relate strongly to the topic of the dissertation with excellent justification in the evidence. Conclusions add new insight to the topic of the dissertation and identify clear and practical recommendations / opportunities for further development	8-10 marks
	Exceptional conclusions that relate strongly to the topic of the dissertation with excellent justification in the evidence. Conclusions add new insight to the topic of the dissertation and identify clear and practical recommendations / opportunities for further development	6-8 marks
	Conclusions have limited justification in the evidence, there is limited relationship to existing theory and the topic of the dissertation, very limited recommendations / opportunities for further development	4-6 marks
	Conclusions poorly justified by evidence, they have a poor relationship to the topic of the dissertation, their development is of poor quality, recommendations / opportunities for further development are not of practical use	0-4 marks

Research Publications (25 marks)	Publications in UGC care listed Group I (Journals that have qualified through UGC-CARE protocols)	20-25 marks
	Publications in UGC care listed Group 2 (Journals that are indexed in globally recognized databases)	15-20 marks
	Publications in Peer Reviewed journals	5-15 marks
	No publication	0-5 marks
Viva-voce (25 Marks)	Give complete and logical reply to the questions asked by external examiner & crisp to-the-point answers & attire is appropriate.	20-25 marks
	Give logical reply to the questions asked by external examiner with few errors & crisp to-the-point answers & attire is appropriate.	15-20 marks
	Give incomplete and illogical reply to the questions asked by external examiner & average attire.	10-15 marks
	Give very few and vague answers to the questions asked by external examiner & not proper attire.	0-10 marks